Summary and Unedited Public Comments for Chapter 11: Special Area Plans

9-19-17 CPC Work Session

Below is a summary of public comments with staff responses and actions along with the unedited comments for draft Chapter 11: Special Area Plans.

Summary of Public Comments for Chapter 11: Special Area Plans							
			Action				
Comment Summary #	Comment Summary	Staff Response	Incorporated	Not Incorporated	Another Chapter	Beyond Scope of Plan	
1	Matoaca Village warrants special planning attention due to history and land use concerns	Agree – identified as a special area plan	х				

Unedited Public Comments for Chapter 11: Special Area Plans					
Comment Summary #	Unedited Comment				
	Don't gentrify Ettrick				
1	As a resident of Matoaca , I am writing to preserve what is left of the history and identity of the Matoaca Village. For whatever reason, the current Comprehensive Plan (2012) fails to protect and recognize the Village of Matoaca. Before the 2012 edition, Ms. Humphries and Ms. Durfee, both Matoaca Board representatives, assured and reassured those of us who attended their meetings that the "Village" would maintain its character and stay the same. To my dismay, I have learned not so in the 2012 plan. My comments are directed to the whole plan – In the past it was viewed as quite rigid requiring detailed "alternatives to the chapter", even to the point of hiring consultants for a simple variance. Now the current reading is that it is simply a plan or guide. In the case of Matoaca, chapters 10 and 11 need to be revised to protect and allow the "core Village" to retain its historic personality. Attached are a few references that reflect and document the "esprit de corps" that still exists for this village. Several years ago, after the demise of the Village of Ettrick, a Matoaca Village History FaceBook page was established in the hope of recording and documenting the Village's colorful past. You may want to visit the FaceBook site known as "Matoaca Village History". So far there are 1400 (+/-) visits and postings. The postings cover Pocahontas (Matoaka), Jand grant plantations, and the cotton mill along the Appomattox River, the impact of the American Revolution and Civil War, and a cemetery where 67 Civil War Veterans are buried. Also attached are two references illustrating what the County "had" in place before the 2012 plan. The first, validating the 2006 Village status and the 2nd, a Progress Index article on April 11, 2011, referencing Ms. Durfee's assurance the Village would remain unchanged. The Matoaca Village represents one of the oldest communities and it shouldn't be sacrificed under the guise of "affordable housing for low to moderate income families and people with special needs." One subdivision targe				
	for Legend I C]. Gr id 41 1 Land Use Categotiies 1-5 acre lots (Sililited for R88) Residential 1 umiWacre or less Residential 1.5iU11nit s/acreor less Residential 2.5 illlInits/acre or less				
	Village Comme1r:x::ial Conservation/Pmssive Recreation i 0a: i 44 £; 5 "'-! .,f.l.l.t \ _,,, , · / / f! /, 0.5 j-•-•;, ·+• · P}anninmlDepartment Octc co vi}\\ Ettric_k and Matoaca get individual treatment in new, \ \ comprehensive Chesterfield				
	Coun_ty document 011 ot Deci Virg, for(NATAUE ALLEN/ PROGRESS-IN PHOTO Chesterfield				

County's 210 page comprehensive plan seeks to preserve the village core of Matoaca-while recognizing that Ettrick will be growing as Virginia State University expands in the future ETI'RIO iaStateUE on Jan. 29 energym The v snapped 2 fashiou. St!:e'i.::.. And ooach wt It BY CANDACE SIPOS STAFF WRITER ETTRICK- A Chesterfield County comprehensiveplanseeksto preserve the village core of Matoaca while it recognizes that Ettrick will grow as Virginia State University expands in thefuture. The plan, a 210-page document, is broken upintofive countywide plans, including the public facilities and water quality protection plans, and 21 area plans. Chesterfield has not seen a countywide, unified plan since the the document infringing on their property rights, but that's notthepurposeor goalof the IW\""plan.accord- ing toChesterfield CountySUpenisor Marleen Durfee, who represents the Matoaca District. "There will probably be more opportunities for an individual to have more choices for their personal land," Durfee said. Comprehensive Plan Manager Bar- bara Fassettsaid that thenew propos- al is not that different from the current plan in the Matoaca area. the same." Fassett said, but she added that Ertrick's new plan introdu a category that doesn't exist cummtlj· -urbe:1':der; mentarea Theeo!ID- ty bad t0 !dennf}- a location that!: I this description. and officials clinse the smal:aIT:a near the Amtrak s:a- tion. a."'e other land use designa- tions ir:Enri:::k that have been added since: \&...11:C:..-Idinga commun.i.y center. a ::!:xedemployment center and a or:n=:m:ty corridor along - e. torydm!. yea!'-Ole 1 seenrus! J breakthll Thom thepoten "Imo; outs tau Thmnpsc ECSC." Il atmya!: i alittlemi Thaf-'ll mid-1980s.